Why Should We Pursue Connected Vehicle Technology?

- Safety benefits for first responders
- Automakers are planning to produce vehicles with this technology
- Safety benefits for all road users
- Potential to improve traffic flow
- GDOT is deploying this technology on state routes in metro Atlanta

https://www.its.dot.gov
“Connected Vehicles Can Sense and Communicate Things Drivers Cannot” - USDOT
Definitions

**Automated Vehicles** are vehicles that are capable of sensing their environment and navigating without human input.

**Connected Vehicles** are vehicles that use wireless communication technologies to communicate with roadside infrastructure, the driver, other cars on the road, and other devices, such as mobile phones.

Source: USDOT. Connected Vehicles Pilot Deployment Program
Vehicles to Infrastructure (V2I)

- Red Light Warning
  - Driver Alert
- Pedestrian in Crosswalk Alert
  - Driver Alert
- Restricted Lane Warning
  - Driver Alert
  - Agency Response and Safety
- Emergency Vehicle Signal Preemption
  - Agency Response, Safety, and Coordination
  - Driver Alert
Why create this plan?

• Supplement the County’s existing transportation plans by focusing on connected vehicle infrastructure
• Identify the potential safety and mobility benefits available with deployment of connected vehicle infrastructure
• Understand the current state of the technology and the plans of automakers
• Prepare for the Smart Corridor pilot located along PIB
• Provide guidance for future projects
How to develop the plan?

- Awarded one of the inaugural Georgia Smart Communities Challenge grants
- Matched with County SPLOST funds
- Included research and data analysis conducted by Georgia Tech faculty
Goals for the plan

• Have broad applicability across the County, Atlanta region, and the State of Georgia
• Understand the needs and challenges to ensure regional and statewide compatibility for road users
• Establish guidelines for deploying a new and evolving technology
• Set the standard for the implementation of connected vehicle technology for a local government
Project Team

- Gwinnett County:
- AECOM:
- Georgia Tech:
- Stakeholders:
  - Public Safety
  - GDOT
  - Cities
  - Community Improvement Districts
Project Team

Gwinnett County: Tom Sever, Alex Hofelich, Ken Keena
AECOM: Marc Start, Suzanne Murtha, Leslie Langley, Sinan Sinharoy
Georgia Tech: Angshuman Guin

Stakeholders:
- Gwinnett County: Transportation, Transit, Fire, Police, and IT
- GDOT Office of Traffic Operations
- 15 city governments
- 6 community improvement districts (CIDs)
- 3 peer agencies in Atlanta region
- City of Chamblee
Project Timeline

- September 2018: Project initiation (Georgia Tech Workshop)
- October 2018: Local stakeholder kick-off meeting
- November 2018: Technology review meeting
- January 2019: CV applications identification meeting
- March 2019: CV applications completion meeting
- April 2019: Regional CV stakeholder workshop as part of Ga Smart site visit
- June 2019: Data collection and evaluation
- August 2019: Connected Vehicle Technology Master Plan completion
- September 2019: Final presentation at Georgia Tech
Stakeholder Meetings

- Location and nature of the transportation issues
- Priority
Project Actions

• Interviewed peer agencies that had already installed or are planning to install connected vehicle infrastructure
  − RenewATL
  − City of Marietta
  − Cobb County DOT
Project Actions

- Meetings with GDOT to coordinate on technical issues and standards
- GDOT-funded opportunities
  - Connected vehicle software
  - Roadside Unit (RSU) device testing
  - Expansion of GDOT’s deployment of roadside units in Gwinnett County by 56 intersections

Source: GDOT
Benefits of the process

• Learned about transportation challenges from stakeholders
• Explained the technologies and systems at a conceptual level
• Provided overview of industry trends and opportunities
• Developed a 5 year deployment plan for connected vehicle applications and technologies
• Refined the scope of the Smart Corridor pilot project
  – Expansion from PIB signals to more roads west of I-85
  – Status of the desired connected vehicle applications
# 5-Year Deployment Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smart Corridor project</td>
<td>In Coordination with ARC, GDOT</td>
<td>In Coordination with GDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Phase and Timing (SPaT) Information</td>
<td>• Enable red light warning, phase termination/next signal phase, and green band speed applications</td>
<td>• Monitor benefits of safety applications related to fleet penetration of RSUs and cellular OBUs</td>
<td>• Monitor benefits of safety applications related to fleet penetration of DSRC/cellular OBUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Vehicle Preemption (EVP)</td>
<td>• Enable EVP</td>
<td>• State-wide; manage EVP conditional priority requirements</td>
<td>• Alerts for excessive transition time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Install OBUs on fire trucks</td>
<td>• Test schedule adherence conditional priority</td>
<td>• County-wide system development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Signal Priority (TSP)</td>
<td>• Enable TSP</td>
<td>• Manage TSP conditional priority</td>
<td>• Alerts for excessive transition time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Install OBUs on transit vehicles</td>
<td>• Test bus occupancy conditional priority</td>
<td>• Countly-wide system development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Identification of those connected vehicle applications that could be deployed in pilot project
- Understanding of which applications would need support from other parties and more development
- Table has just a few examples to show the current state and steps to desired state of applications
Connected Vehicle Applications for Smart Corridor Pilot Project

- Emergency vehicle preemption
- Transit signal priority
- Pedestrian presence notification
- Railroad crossing status
- Construction and maintenance activity notification
- Signal timing and phasing information
Project Recommendations

• Solve real problems
• Coordinate with GDOT, since connected vehicle functions should be boundary-less
• Recognize that plan flexibility is necessary due to the speed of technology evolution
• Budget time and finances for system field testing, O&M, and security certification
Georgia Tech Research Actions & Results
Research Focus

• Evaluate the potential for improvements in safety and response time for emergency vehicles

• Focus on fire apparatus at stations within the pilot project area

• Develop strategies for maximizing benefits and minimizing impacts

Photo Credits:

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/GPS-and-ZigBee-based-traffic-signal-preemption-Kodire-Bhaskaran/b1d0e1034d5c147b44f6fcb51ab06d722b30acaa
Emergency Vehicle Preemption (EVP)

EVP Basics

• Signal controller receives a message from an emergency vehicle as it approaches intersection
• Signal transitions to green light
• Current technology limited to line of sight

EVP using connected vehicle technology

• Potential for multi-signal preemption
• Clear vehicle queue in advance of emergency vehicle arrival
• Minimize impacts to normal traffic flow

Photo credits
https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/GPS-and-ZigBee-based-traffic-signal-preemption-Kodire-Bhaskaran/b1d0e1034d5c147b44f6fcb51ab06d722b30acaa
Data

• GPS data collection on 17 trucks/engines/med-units from 6 Fire Stations
• GT equipment deployed on Gwinnett county fire vehicles
• 1 month of second by second location data
Georgia Tech Student Engagement

Smart Community Corps student

- On-site placement at the Gwinnett County DOT Traffic Control Center
- Streamlined the process for data transfer for high resolution (massive volume) traffic signal data from Gwinnett to GT on a regular basis
- Developed data fusion API for GPS and Signal status data

Civic Data Science team

- Performed Bottleneck Analysis using GPS and Signal data
  - Developed data quality checks
  - Developed data transformation protocols for GPS data
- Identified Intersections contribution to maximum delay for Emergency Vehicles
Data Processing

- Off-route filter
- Intersection filters
- Intersection association
- Approaching / receding determination
- Signal light association

Approach Direction + Turn
Traffic Signal status
Results

Average Speed Near Intersections

Top 10 intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLEASANT HILL RD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWELL FERRY RD</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCGINNIS FERRY RD</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH BERKELEY LAKE RD</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACHTREE HILL S/C / DUNKIN DONUTS</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTON TUCKER BLVD / FIRST AVE</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUWANEE DAM RD</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDLOCK BRIDGE RD</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 20 / NELSON BROGDON BLVD</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPS MILLER RD</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Results

### Average Speeds on Approach

#### Top 10 intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>%Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLEASANT HILL RD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCGINNIS FERRY RD</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWELL FERRY RD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH BERKELEY LAKE RD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACHTREE HILL S/C / DUNKIN DONUTS</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUWANEE DAM RD</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROGERS BRIDGE RD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDLOCK BRIDGE RD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPS MILLER RD</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTON TUCKER BLVD / FIRST AVE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

FS driveway

FS 19 Driveway

~1,100 feet From PIB
## Results
### Average Queue Length
#### Top 10 intersections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Queue Length</th>
<th>Occurrences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUWANEE WALK AVE (~670 FEET SOUTH OF MCGINNIS FERRY RD)</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVERGREEN PKWY (NORTH)</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMMITT RIDGE PKWY / SWEETWATER CENTER</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACHTREE HILL S/C / DUNKIN DONUTS</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTON TUCKER BLVD / FIRST AVE</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPS MILLER RD</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUWANEE DAM RD</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLEASANT HILL RD</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 20 / NELSON BROGDON BLVD</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST PRICE RD</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Map indicating Suwanee Walk Ave and Rivergreen Pkwy.
Next Steps in Analysis

• Deeper analysis of data
• Quantify total observed delay
• Identify critical signal phases for emergency vehicles at each intersection
• Evaluate forward looking preemption feasibility
• Collect more before-deployment data in Fall 2019
• Post-deployment analysis (2020-2021)
Process Improvement, Data, & Automation

• Greater understanding of how connected vehicle technology will support the goals of improved mobility and safety

• GDOT coordination improves the opportunities for connect vehicle technology to be applied state-wide
Process Improvement, Data, & Automation

- Connected vehicle application selection that will benefit the full spectrum of transportation users
- Data evaluation provides a detailed understanding of where emergency vehicles are experiencing delays
Research Recommendations

• The emergency response community is welcoming CV technology. Demonstration and quantification of benefits, through pilot field applications, will be critical to inducing acceptance from the public and convergence from the manufacturers that are both necessary for widespread success of CV in improving mobility, safety and sustainability.

• Lessons learned: Identifying key data needs early in the project is critical to success of short term data-heavy projects
Impact

Project coverage
  • WSBTV People 2 People September 2018
  • Gwinnett County Connection Newsletter July 2019
  • Suwanee Connects Newsletter September 2019

"I am particularly thrilled about the prospect of experienced Gwinnett DOT staff members working with their Georgia Tech research partners to help us challenge the status quo, make bold recommendations, experiment and adapt technology. Together, using a smart risk approach, we can implement a traffic system which is at the cutting edge of what is possible with recent scientific advances."

- Charlotte Nash, Gwinnett County Board of Commissioners Chairman
Future Plans

• Smart Corridor pilot project in western Gwinnett County – implementation in 2020

• Atlanta Regional Commission CV1K initiative participation – will help to equip all Gwinnett signals with CV technology

• Vehicle fleet starts to become equipped with CV technology – observe the impact to traffic and data flow and how infrastructure needs to respond
Future Funding

• Gwinnett County has $2.6 million in funding for the Smart Corridor pilot project
• Identify sources for matching funds to participate in CV1K initiative
• Will need to plan for future operations and maintenance funds as CV technology expands and becomes more integral to everyday travel
# Grant Monies Financial Reporting

**September 2018 – August 2019**

**AECOM invoices**

---

## Georgia Smart Budget Spend Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AECOM assistance</th>
<th>Invoice amount towards grant</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$2,556.00</td>
<td>$22,444.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$4,956.00</td>
<td>$17,488.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$6,876.00</td>
<td>$10,612.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$8,788.00</td>
<td>$1,824.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$1,824.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2018 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$4,894.00</td>
<td>$20,106.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2019 Needs and Technology Assessment</td>
<td>$12,106.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019 Documentation &amp; Deliverables</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td>$5,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2019 Documentation &amp; Deliverables</td>
<td>4000.00</td>
<td>$1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019 Documentation &amp; Deliverables</td>
<td>636.00</td>
<td>$964.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2019 Documentation &amp; Deliverables</td>
<td>844.00</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2019 Documentation &amp; Deliverables</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>50000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Match Financial Reporting

September 2018 – August 2019
AECOM invoices representing total of grant monies and Gwinnett County match

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invoice #</th>
<th>Invoice Date</th>
<th>Total This Invoice</th>
<th>Total to Date</th>
<th>Contract Balance</th>
<th>Work Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000113651</td>
<td>9/20/2018</td>
<td>$6,695.00</td>
<td>$6,695.00</td>
<td>$143,300.00</td>
<td>01-AUG-18 to 31-AUG-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200124517</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
<td>$6,695.00</td>
<td>$13,390.00</td>
<td>$136,605.00</td>
<td>01-SEP-18 to 05-OCT-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000137043</td>
<td>11/15/2018</td>
<td>$10,595.00</td>
<td>$23,985.00</td>
<td>$126,010.00</td>
<td>06-OCT-18 to 02-NOV-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000154842</td>
<td>12/27/2018</td>
<td>$10,985.00</td>
<td>$34,970.00</td>
<td>$115,025.00</td>
<td>03-NOV-18 to 30-NOV-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000159151</td>
<td>1/10/2019</td>
<td>$9,397.50</td>
<td>$44,367.50</td>
<td>$105,627.50</td>
<td>01-DEC-18 to 04-JAN-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000175373</td>
<td>2/14/2019</td>
<td>$32,467.50</td>
<td>$76,835.00</td>
<td>$73,160.00</td>
<td>05-JAN-19 to 01-FEB-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000186717</td>
<td>3/13/2019</td>
<td>$27,422.50</td>
<td>$104,257.50</td>
<td>$45,737.50</td>
<td>02-FEB-19 to 01-MAR-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000201209</td>
<td>4/17/2019</td>
<td>$14,767.50</td>
<td>$119,025.00</td>
<td>$30,970.00</td>
<td>02-MAR-19 to 05-APR-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000214028</td>
<td>5/17/2019</td>
<td>$12,452.50</td>
<td>$131,477.50</td>
<td>$18,517.50</td>
<td>06-APR-19 to 03-MAY-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000225062</td>
<td>6/13/2019</td>
<td>$15,447.50</td>
<td>$146,925.00</td>
<td>$3,070.00</td>
<td>04-MAY-19 to 31-MAY-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000235748</td>
<td>7/11/2019</td>
<td>$795.00</td>
<td>$147,720.00</td>
<td>$2,275.00</td>
<td>01-JUN-19 to 05-JUL-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000251224</td>
<td>8/15/2019</td>
<td>$1,625.00</td>
<td>$149,345.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>06-JUL-19 to 02-AUG-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000264580</td>
<td>9/17/2019</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>$149,995.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>03-AUG-19 to 30-AUG-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Information

• Connected Vehicle Technology Master Plan will be submitted separately.
• The research has helped develop the much needed conduits for data communication between Gwinnett County and Georgia Tech.
• County provided traffic signal controller log data – 10Hz (1/10 of a second resolution) for over 500 traffic signals in Gwinnett County.
• Looking for opportunities to continue research using these rich datasets with hopes that the results will be presented in conferences and potentially published.
• The Civil Data Science students have expressed interest in continuing to work towards a publication.
Impact of Connected Vehicles

- Safety benefits to first responders and all road users
- Compatibility with GDOT connected vehicle technology can create a regionwide system
Project Team Contact Information

Mr. Tom Sever, P.E.,
Deputy Director for Traffic Engineering, Operations & Maintenance
Gwinnett County
770.822.7413, tom.sever@gwinnettccounty.com

Dr. Angushman Guin, Ph.D.,
Senior Research Engineer
Georgia Tech
404.894.5830, angshuman.guin@ce.gatech.edu